

Lumbosacral Dimple

This Local Operating Procedure is developed to guide safe clinical practice in Newborn Care Centre (NCC) at The Royal Hospital for Women. Individual patient circumstances may mean that practice diverges from this Local Operating Procedure.

Using this document outside the Royal Hospital for Women or its reproduction in whole or part, is subject to acknowledgement that it is the property of NCC and is valid and applicable for use at the time of publication. NCC is not responsible for consequences that may develop from the use of this document outside NCC.

INTRODUCTION

Sacral dimple is a common finding in newborn examination. A small proportion may be associated with occult or closed spinal dysraphism and failure to diagnose can lead to tethered cord syndrome. Tethered cord syndrome is the term used for occult dysraphism when the symptoms arise due to a tethered cord which can force the spinal cord to stretch as they grow leading to progressive spinal cord damage if untreated.

1. AIM

- To differentiate the occult spinal dysraphism (OSD) from simple sacral dimple
- To appropriately investigate and arrange follow up for infants with OSD

2. PATIENT

- Newborns

3. STAFF

- Medical and nursing staff

4. EQUIPMENT

- N/A

5. CLINICAL PRACTICE

Low Risk Category

- Imaging is not required in the following circumstances:
 - Simple Dimple (<5mm deep and located within 2.5cm from the anal verge)
 - Coccygeal pits (located within gluteal cleft, oriented caudally or straight down)
 - Port Wine Stain or Telangiectases

Intermediate Risk Category

- Imaging is required in the following circumstances (ultrasound if age <6 months or MRI if age ≥6 months):
 - Atypical Dimple (Deep (>5mm) and located 2.5cm or more from the anal verge)
 - Infantile Hemangioma near lumbosacral area less than 2.5cm in size
 - Hypertrichosis which is well defined and located in lumbosacral area (not universal hypertrichosis)
- Newborn infants should be referred for ultrasound at 4-6 weeks of age with review in NCC outpatient clinic (or parent chosen paediatrician/GP) at 6 weeks.

High Risk Category

- Consultation with the Spina Bifida Clinic is required in the following circumstances:
 - 2 or more cutaneous stigmata
 - Lipoma
 - Pseudo or true tail
 - Aplasia cutis and congenital scars over lower spine
 - Dermoid cyst or sinus
 - Infantile Haemangioma near lumbosacral area 2.5 cm or more in size
- Spina Bifida Clinic to guide timing of ultrasound and/or MRI as well as referral to Spina Bifida Clinic.

NEONATAL SERVICES DIVISION

Approved by Safety & Quality Committee
15 July 2021

Lumbosacral Dimple

Management of Abnormal Imaging

- Organise appointment in Spina Bifida Clinic (CNC phone ext 21595).
- Consider involvement of Neurosurgery Registrar and CNC Paediatric Neurosurgery (Pager 47165) and Urology Fellow or Registrar about any intervention needed prior to appointment in Spina Bifida clinic.
- Arrange for a urinary tract ultrasound at 1 month of age.
- There may be mild abnormalities that do not need to be referred to Spina Bifida Clinic (this is at consultant discretion).

6. DOCUMENTATION

- eMR

7. EDUCATIONAL NOTES

Why look for OSD?

- If untreated OSD can lead to neurological sequelae in the lower limbs, urinary and bowel symptoms.
- In tethered Cord syndrome, cord traction can occur as a result of growth which may impair microcirculation to the cord leading to progressive cord ischaemia.
- Early Surgical intervention for spinal lesions may prevent irreversible neurological damage
- When OSD is the primary finding at least 50% are associated with cutaneous marker.
- It is thought that between 3-8% of patients with significant skin lesions over the spine will have an underlying OSD. A combination of 2 or more cutaneous lesions has been shown to be the highest indicator of OSD.

Which Imaging to choose?

Spinal Ultrasound

- Advantages
 - Best undertaken within 3 months of age, generally earlier the better
 - After 6 months not possible as spinal ossification occurs and quality of examination becomes very poor
 - Less expensive
 - Portable and don't require anaesthesia
 - First line investigation
- Disadvantages
 - Can miss small amounts of fat within the filum terminale and small dermal sinus tracts
 - Imaging abnormalities seen
 - Poor visualisation of bony structures
- Ultrasound anomalies
 - Position of conus (lower in tethered cord syndrome; conus should not be lower than L2 at any age)
 - A thickened filum or a lipoma
 - Normal mobility of nerve roots in the thecal sac

Spinal MRI

- Advantages
 - Better visualisation of bony structures
 - Identify fusion defects and segmentation anomalies such as hemivertebra
- Disadvantages
 - Expensive
 - Not portable
 - Requires anaesthesia

NEONATAL SERVICES DIVISION

Approved by Safety & Quality Committee
15 July 2021

Lumbosacral Dimple

8. RELATED POLICIES/PROCEDURES/CLINICAL PRACTICE LOP

- N/A

9. RISK RATING

- Low

10. NATIONAL STANDARD

- Standard 1 Clinical Governance
- Standard 5 Comprehensive Care

11. ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS OF TERMS

NCC	Newborn Care Centre	OSD	Occult Spinal Dysraphism
LOP	Local Operations Procedure	CNC	Clinical Nurse Consultant

12. REFERENCES

1. Warder DE. Tethered cord syndrome and occult spinal dysraphism. Neurosurg Focus. 2001;10:e1.
2. Schropp C, Sørensen N, Collmann H, et al. Cutaneous lesions in occult spinal dysraphism--correlation with intraspinal findings. Childs Nerv Syst. 2006;22:125-31.
3. Ackerman LL, Menezes AH. Spinal congenital dermal sinuses: a 30-year experience. Pediatrics. 2003;112:641-7.
4. Kriss VM, Desai NS. Occult spinal dysraphism in neonates: assessment of high-risk cutaneous stigmata on sonography. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1998;171:1687-92.
5. Williams H. Spinal sinuses, dimples, pits and patches: what lies beneath? Arch Dis Child Educ Pract Ed. 2006;91:ep75-ep80.
6. Sewell MJ, Chiu YE, Drolet BA. Neural tube dysraphism: review of cutaneous markers and imaging. Pediatr Dermatol. 2015 Mar-Apr;32(2):161-70.
7. Cho HH, Lee SM, You SK. Optimal timing of spinal ultrasound evaluations for sacral dimples in neonates: Earlier may not be better. J Ultrasound Med. 2019;38:1241-7.

13. AUTHORS

Primary	8.12.2010	P Mishra (Staff Specialist)
Revised	5.7.2018	P Mishra (Staff Specialist), S Bolisetty (Lead Clinician)
Revised	18.5.2021	S Amico (SCH Registrar)

LOP DEVELOPMENT (DELETE PRIOR TO PUBLICATION)

Date identified	Identifier	Reason for LOP	Meeting approved	Allocation

REVISION & APPROVAL HISTORY

May 2021 Revised and Approved NCC LOPs Committee
July 2018 Revised and Approved NCC LOPs Committee
December 2010 Primary Document Created and Approved

FOR REVIEW: 2025