Chloramphenicol Topical

Newborn use only

Alert	Eye ointment remains in the eye for longer than eye drops and may be the preferred dose form.
Indication	Treatment of acute bacterial conjunctivitis
Action	Bacteriostatic. Acts by inhibition of protein synthesis, interfering with the transfer of activated amino
	acids from soluble RNA to ribosomes.
Drug type	Broad spectrum antibiotic
Trade name	Chloromycetin, Chlorsig
Presentation	Chloramphenicol eye drops 0.5% contains 5 mg/mL, chloramphenicol eye ointment 1% contains 10mg/g
Dose	Ointment:
	Apply 3 to 4 times a day in the affected eye and continue for 48 hours after clinical resolution.
	Severe infection: May need more often at the discretion of the treating team.
	DROPS:
	Severe infection: Apply 1 drop every 2 hours in the affected eye for 48 hours and reduce
	frequency with controlling of infection.
	Less severe infection: Apply 3 to 4 times a day in the affected eye
	Continue for 48 hours after clinical resolution
	Drops and Ointment combination:
	Apply drops during the day as above
	Apply ontment once at hight
Dece ediustment	Continue for 48 hours after clinical resolution.
Dose adjustment	FCMQ – Not applicable
	Penal impairment – Not applicable
	Henatic impairment – Not applicable.
Maximum dose	
Total cumulative	
dose	
Route	TOPICAL ONLY
Preparation	
Administration	Avoid contact between tip of container and infant's eves.
	Eve ointment: Hold eve open and administer eve ointment between the lower lid and the eve.
	Eye drop: After administering eye drop, gently press against the lacrimal duct (inner corner of eye) to
	reduce systemic absorption. The eye pouch will be full after a single drop. If other eye drop(s) need to be
	administered, wait 5 minutes between drops.
Monitoring	
Contraindications	History of hypersensitivity to chloramphenicol or any other component of the medication.
Precautions	Family history of blood disorders
Drug interactions	
Adverse reactions	Local irritation e.g. burning, swelling, redness; impaired corneal healing; superinfection; hypersensitivity
	including sensitisation, urticaria, rash, fever, angioedema, anaphylaxis, blood dyscrasia (rare).
	Acute hepatitis was reported in an adult following topical chloramphenicol therapy for conjunctivitis.
	Bone marrow hypoplasia, including aplastic anaemia and death, has been rarely reported following local
	application of chloramphenicol.
	Overgrowth of non-susceptible organisms.
Compatibility	No information
Incompatibility	No information
Stability	
Storage	Eye drop: Store unopened bottle at 2–8°C. Once opened, bottle may be stored at <25°C for 28 days.
	Protect from light.
	Eye Ointment: Store below 25°C. Discard 28 days after opening. Protect from light
Excipients	
Special comments	
Fvidence	Efficacy

Chloramphenicol Topical

Newborn use only

	Chloramphenicol inhibits bacterial protein synthesis [1]. It is bacteriostatic, with a relatively broad
	spectrum against most Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Uncommon occurrences of acquired
	resistance are caused by enzyme inactivation.
	Topical treatment of bacterial conjunctivitis versus placebo:
	Sheikh et al [2] performed a meta-analysis on the efficacy of topical antibiotics for acute bacterial
	conjunctivitis. Study participants were aged one month or older. Topical antibiotics were of benefit in
	improving early clinical (day two to five) (RR 1.36, 95% CI 1.15 to 1.61) and microbiological (RR 1.55, 95%
	CI 1.37 to 1.76) remission rates, as well as late clinical (days six to 10) (RR 1.21, 95% CI 1.10 to 1.33) and
	microbiological (RR 1.37, 95% CI 1.24 to 1.52) cure rates. By day six to 10, 41% (95%CI 38 to 43) of cases
	had resolved in those receiving placebo. No serious outcomes were reported. A single trial compared the
	effect of 0.5% chloramphenicol (1 drop in the affected eye every 2 hours for first 24 hours and 4 times a
	day until 48 hours after the clinical resolution) versus placebo (boric acid/borax) [3] in children aged 6
	months to 12 years with infective conjunctivitis in primary care. There was no significant difference in
	clinical cure by day 7 (83% for placebo versus 86% with chloramphenicol), with seven (4%) children with
	chloramphenicol and five (3%) with placebo having further conjunctivitis episodes within 6 weeks.
	Adverse events were uncommon (2% in each group). [LOE II] Fukuda et al 2002 reported
	chloramphenicol eve drop treatment of elderly patients with methicillin resistant staphylococcus aureus
	(MRSA) ocular surface infections had an efficacy rate of 81% [4]. [I OF IV]
	Topical chloramphenicol versus other antibiotic for bacterial conjunctivitis:
	Normann et al 2002, in an RCT compared 1% fusidic acid twice a day versus 0.5% chloramphenicol eve
	drops six times a day in 456 neonates with a clinical diagnosis of acute bacterial conjunctivitis. Clinical
	cure rate was not significantly different (62.2% with fusidic acid versus 64.7% with chloramphenicol)
	Clinical compliance was better with fusidic acid (90.7% versus 78.0%)
	A review identified five trials that compared chloramphenicol versus fusidic acid eve drops in patients
	with bacterial conjunctivitis [5]. Three of the five studies reported no difference in effectiveness between
	the two preparations with both drugs performing equally well [6-8]. Two studies undertaken in less-
	developed countries showed fusidic acid to be far more effective but the cure rate with chloramphenicol
	was low suggesting resistance or different causal agents [9, 10] [LOF IL GOR C]
	Fusidic acid eve drons are not available in Australia
	Safety
	Adverse effects were uncommon in trials of tonical eve drons [2] Anlastic anaemia [11, 12] erythema
	multiforme [13] and drug induced benatitis [14] have been reported associated with use of tonical
	chloramphenicol although all are rare and causality uncertain [1]
	Chloramphenicol is not effective for the prevention or treatment of gonococcal or chlamydia onbthalmia
	neonatorum and may mask clinical signs and delay the diagnosis of gonococcus and chlamydia [15-18]
	Conclusion: Most children presenting with acute infective conjunctivitis in primary care will get better
	without tonical antibiotic treatment. Moreover, failure of clinical cure is frequent with chloramphenical
	Treatment should be guided by microbiological testing including for chlamydia and gonococcus if
	clinically indicated or in areas of increased provalence prior to initiation of eve drops
Bractico points	Chloramphanical tonical ava drans may be preferable if infaction of blocked lasrimal apparatus is
Practice points	suspected and if the use is intended at home
Poforoncoc	1 Robert RV Adapis IR Comparative review of topical antithalmic antibactorial proparations. Drugs
References	
	2 Sheikh A. Hurwitz B. van Schavek CD. Melean S. Nurmatov II. Antibiotics versus placebo for acute
	hacterial conjunctivitis Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online) 2012:0:CD001211
	3 Rose PW/ Harndan A Brueggemann AB Perera B Sheikh A Crook D Mant D Chloramphenicol
	treatment for acute infective conjunctivitis in children in primary care: A randomised double-blind
	nlaceho-controlled trial Lancet 2005:366:37-43
	4 Fukuda M Ohashi H Matsumoto C Mishima S Shimomura V Methicillin-resistant Stanbylococcus
	aureus and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative Stanbylococcus ocular surface infection: Efficacy of
	chloramphonical eve drons. Corpea. 2002;21:586-59
	5. Griffiths P. What type of eve drops should be given to a toddlar with conjunctivitis? British journal of
	community nursing 2003;8:364-8
	6 Horven L Acute conjuctivitis A comparison of fusidic acid viscous ave drops and chloromphonical. Acta
	Onbthalmologica 1993.71.165-8
L	

Chloramphenicol Topical

Newborn use only

	7. Hvidberg J. Fusidic acid in acute conjunctivitis. Single-blind, randomized comparison of fusidic acid and
	chloramphenicol viscous eye drops. Acta Ophthalmologica. 1987;65:43-7.
	8. Normann EK, Bakken O, Peltola J, Andreasson B, Buhl S, Sigg P, Nielsen K. Treatment of acute neonatal
	bacterial conjunctivitis: A comparison of fucidic acid to chloramphenicol eye drops. Acta
	Ophthalmologica Scandinavica. 2002;80:183-7.
	9. Dirdal M. Fucithalmic in acute conjunctivitis. Open, randomized comparison of fusidic acid,
	chloramphenicol and framycetin eye drops. Acta Ophthalmologica. 1987;65:129-33.
	10. Van Bijsterveld OP, El Batawi Y, Sobhi FS, Nassar MW. Fusidic acid in infections of the external eye.
	Infection. 1987;15:16-9.
	11. Laporte JR, Vidal X, Ballarin E, Ibanez L. Possible association between ocular chloramphenicol and
	aplastic anaemia - The absolute risk is very low. British Journal of Clinical Pharmacology. 1998;46:181-4.
	12. Walker S, Diaper CJM, Bowman R, Sweeney G, Seal DV, Kirkness CM. Lack of evidence for systemic
	toxicity following topical chloramphenicol use. Eye. 1998;12:875-9.
	13. Amichai B, Grunwald MH, Halevy S. Erythema multiforme resulting from chloramphenicol in eye
	drops: Confirmation by mast cell degranulation test. Annals of Ophthalmology - Glaucoma. 1998;30:225-
	7.
	14. Doshi B, Sarkar S. Drug point: Topical administration of chloramphenicol can induce acute hepatitis.
	BMJ (Online). 2009;339:574.
	15. Darling EK, McDonald H. A Meta-analysis of the Efficacy of Ocular Prophylactic Agents Used for the
	Prevention of Gonococcal and Chlamydial Ophthalmia Neonatorum. Journal of Midwifery and Women's
	Health. 2010;55:319-27.
	16. Schofield CBS, Shanks RA. Gonococcal ophthalmia neonatoriun despite treatment with antibacterial
	eye drops. British Medical Journal. 1971;1:257-9.
	17. Schofield CBS, Shanks RA. Gonococcal ophthalmia neonatorum despite treatment with antibacterial
	eye drops. Britmedj. 5743;1:484-90.
	18. Ramirez-Ortiz MA, Rodriguez-Almaraz M, Ochoa-DiazLopez H, Diaz-Prieto P, Rodriguez-Suarez RS.
	Randomised equivalency trial comparing 2.5% povidone-iodine eye drops and ophthalmic
	chloramphenicol for preventing neonatal conjunctivitis in a trachoma endemic area in southern Mexico.
	British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2007;91:1430-4.
	19. Chloramphenicol eye drops. MIMS Online. Accessed on 15 June 2019.
L	

VERSION/NUMBER	DATE
Original	21/06/2019
Revised 1.1	02/07/2020
Current 1.1	02/07/2020
REVIEW (5 years)	01/07/2025

Authors Contribution

Original author/s	Srinivas Bolisetty
Evidence Review	David Osborn
Expert review	Tony Lai, Brendan McMullan, Alison Kesson
Nursing Review	Eszter Jozsa
Pharmacy Review	Cindy Chen, Jing Xiao, Michelle Jenkins
ANMF Group contributors	Nilkant Phad, Himanshu Popat
Final editing and review of the original	lan Whyte
Electronic version	Cindy Chen, Ian Callander
Facilitator	Srinivas Bolisetty