Ranibizumab (Lucentis)

Newborn use only

Alert	Used off-label in infants with retinopathy of prematurity (ROP).	
Indication	For intravitreal injection by ophthalmologist only, after full informed parental consent.	
Indication	Aggressive posterior ROP, Zone 1 Type 1 ROP, Posterior Zone 2 Type 1 ROP and as adjunct to failed laser treatment of ROP or where laser is not possible due to media opacity.	
Action	Antineovascularisation agent. Binds to and inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A).	
Drug type	Recombinant humanized IgG1 monoclonal antibody.	
Trade name	Lucentis	
Presentation	Lucentis vial intravitreal injection 2.3 mg/0.23 mL	
	Lucentis pre-filled syringe intravitreal injection is available but not recommended as the barrel only marks the adult dose of 0.5 mg and any lesser dose cannot be identified.	
Dose	0.12 - 0.2 mg (refer to special comments). Dose can be repeated in 28 days if required.	
Dose adjustment	Not applicable.	
Maximum dose		
Total cumulative		
dose		
Route	Intravitreal	
Preparation	Lucentis vial 2.3 mg/0.23 mL (1)	
	• Disinfect the rubber stopper of the vial with appropriate antiseptic swab.	
	• Attach a 5 micrometre filter needle (18G) to a 1 mL syringe using an aseptic non-touch technique.	
	• Push the blunt filter needle into the centre of the vial stopper until the needle touches the bottom	
	edge of the vial.	
	Withdraw all liquid from the vial.	
	• Ensure that the plunger rod is drawn back sufficiently when emptying the vial in order to	
	completely empty the filter needle.	
	Disconnect the syringe from the blunt filter needle.	
	• Aseptically and firmly attach an injection needle (33G needle preferred) onto the syringe.	
	• Expel the air from the syringe and adjust the dose to the 0.02 mL mark on the syringe.	
Administration	• Procedure should be performed by a suitably qualified ophthalmologist with experience with ROP	
	and intravitreal injection in neonates using aseptic technique.	
	Obtain informed parental/carer consent.	
	• Sedate the patient as required under the supervision of neonatologist.	
	 If the infant is on CPAP, the presence of a CPAP mask is not compatible with an adequately isolated surgical field but Hudson prongs and the connecter "reversed" in direction should allow satisfactory draping and taping. As an alternative, high flow humidified nasal cannula (HHFNC) can 	
	be used if considered appropriate support.	
	Proceduralist to scrub and wear sterile gloves.	
	 Dedicated nurse assistant to be present. All staff providing care for the infant are recommended to wear surgical masks. 	
	• Use topical povidone-iodine 5% as the skin and conjunctival sac preparation. Aqueous	
	chlorhexidine 0.05% to 0.1% may be used in infants with hypersensitivity to povidone-iodine. Wipe	
	off any excess solution from the lids/skin immediately to prevent skin irritation. The conjunctival	
	sac should be thoroughly irrigated with normal saline immediately after the injection.	
	• Use a small fenestrated sterile drape and stick the edges down with sterile steri-strips to isolate the surgical field.	
	 Use frequent sterile topical amethocaine 0.5% to provide topical anaesthesia. A sterile cotton bud 	
	soaked with amethocaine 0.5% can be used to impregnate the injection site and give compression	
	to lower intraocular pressure prior to injection. There is no requirement to give a subconjunctival	
	injection of xylocaine as this creates chemosis and interferes with marking the injection site.	
	 Stabilise globe with 0.12 Bonn ophthalmic microforcep. 	
	 Use Castroviejo ophthalmic caliper to measure and mark the location of the injection site, which is 	
	1.5 mm posterior to the limbus, in the inferotemporal quadrant.	

	 Compress globe for 20 seconds prior to injection with a sterile cotton bud. This lowers intraocular pressure by displacing aqueous and safeguards against the likelihood of CRA occlusion created by the pressure rise that accompanies intraocular injection.
	 Slowly inject ranibizumab into vitreous cavity using 30 or 33g needle. Needle entry point is 1.5 mm posterior to the limbus, in the inferotemporal quadrant and enter 3-4 mm into the vitreous cavity parallel to the visual axis so as to avoid the relatively larger and more spherical neonatal crystalline lens.
	 Perform indirect ophthalmoscope to ensure drug visible within vitreous cavity, lens is clear and central retinal artery (CRA) is perfusing. Apply gentle ocular massage if precarious and perform anterior chamber paracentesis (with 27g needle) if CRA obstructed due to increased intraocular pressure.
	• At the discretion of ophthalmologist, either preservative free lubricant or chloramphenicol eye drops may be applied at the end of the procedure and chloramphenicol eye drops may be continued three times a day for 3 days.
	 Ophthalmologist to review within 24 hours or sooner if excessive eyelid swelling to exclude endophthalmitis.
Monitoring	Watch for any eye swelling/bleeding Monitor vital signs (e.g. BP, heart rate, respiratory rate) throughout the procedure. Monitor for signs and symptoms of infection or ocular inflammation.
Contraindications	Hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients. Active or suspected ocular or periocular infections. Active intraocular inflammation.
Precautions	Pre-existing arterial thromboembolic condition – a multidisciplinary team decision is required on a case by case basis to assess the possible impact of systemic absorption and systemic side effects.
Drug interactions	Not applicable.
Adverse	Adverse effects reported in adults treated with anti-VEGF for macular degeneration:
reactions	Ocular infection
	Ocular haemorrhages
	Endophthalmitis
	Retinal detachment, retinal tears
	Increased intraocular pressure
	Corneal injuries/inflammation
	Lens opacities/cataract
	Arterial thromboembolic events
	Neonatal data are lacking.
Compatibility	Not applicable.
Incompatibility	Not applicable.
Stability	Stable in the unopened tray at 25°C for 24 hours.
Storage	Vial: Store at 2°C to 8°C (refrigerate - do not freeze). Protect from light.
Excipients	Trehalose dihydrate, histidine hydrochloride monohydrate, histidine, polysorbate 20.
Special	New South Wales Paediatric Ophthalmology – ROP treating group consensus: Ranibizumab
comments	0.2mg/0.02mL intravitreal injection is the preferred option given the negligible systemic suppression of
	VEGF compared to bevacizumab. This dose equates to 40% of the adult dose. 0.02mL is a workable
	dosage volume and is a simple draw up of 0.02mL from the supplied ampoule. Smaller volumes are
	technically difficult to reliably measure in a 1 cc syringe and amounts less than this volume are
	uncertain in the amount actually delivered in the eye. Lower dose of 0.12 mg has been reported to be
	efficacious in CARE-ROP trial, but for the reasons mentioned above, it is a small volume to work with.
Evidence	Background
	Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) - A key regulator of angiogenesis in foetal life. In the normally developing retina, VEGF leads to the development of blood vessels from the optic nerve to
	the periphery. In preterm infants with disrupted angiogenesis, however, the expression and levels of
	VEGF differ markedly in the two different phases. While the levels are suppressed in the vaso-
	obliterative phase, there is an overproduction/expression of VEGF, leading to abnormal vascular proliferation in the vaso-proliferative phase.(2)

Type 1 ROP: Retinal findings defined as type 1 ROP are: (1) Zone I ROP: any stage with plus disease; (2) Zone I ROP: stage 3 - no plus disease, (3) Zone II ROP: stage 2 or stage 3 with plus disease.(2) **Efficacy**

Anti-VEGF for type 1 ROP: Sankar et al 2018, in Cochrane systematic review, evaluated the efficacy or safety of anti-VEGF agents compared with laser/cryotherapy in type 1 ROP.(2) Six randomised or quasi-randomised controlled trials involving 383 infants were included. Four trials compared intravitreal bevacizumab monotherapy with conventional laser therapy.(3-7) One trial compared ranibizumab monotherapy with laser therapy (8) and one study compared intravitreal pegaptanib plus conventional laser therapy with laser and cryotherapy.(9) When used as monotherapy, bevacizumab/ranibizumab did not reduce the risk of retinal detachment, pre-discharge mortality, corneal opacity requiring corneal transplant, or lens opacity requiring cataract removal. The risk of retreatment also did not differ between groups. Subgroup analysis showed a significant reduction in the risk of recurrence in infants with zone I ROP (RR 0.15, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.62), but an increased risk of recurrence in infants with zone II ROP (RR 2.53, 95% CI 1.01 to 6.32). There was a significant increase in the risk of recurrence of ROP in eyes that received bevacizumab (RR 5.36, 95% CI 1.22 to 23.50; RD 0.10, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.17). Infants who received intravitreal bevacizumab had a significantly lower risk of refractive errors at 30 months of age. No trial included in this meta-analysis reported neurodevelopmental outcomes.(2)

Li et al 2018, in their meta-analysis, compared the efficacy of anti-VEGF and laser treatments in type-1 and threshold ROP.(10) This study included 4 RCTs and 6 comparative non-randomised studies (CNS) involving 1158 patients. Retreatment incidence was significantly increased in anti-VEGF (OR 2.52; 95% CI 1.37 to 4.66; P = 0.003) compared to the laser treatment. Retreatment incidence was 6.8-21.4% and 1.4-14% in Anti-VEGF and laser groups respectively. Average time interval between initial treatment and retreatment was 7.5 weeks (95% CI 2.00, 17.08 weeks). The longest retreatment time was 17 weeks (postmenstrual age not more than 57 weeks). While the retreatment incidence was higher, anti-VEGF treatment was safer, with a relatively reduced incidence (OR 0.29; 95% CI 0.10 to 0.82; P = 0.02) of eye complications (corneal opacity, cataract, preretinal or intravitreal haemorrhage and retinal detachment). There was less myopia in comparison to laser therapy (WMD 3.03D; 95% CI 1.48 to 4.59; p=0.0001).(10)

A descriptive review by American Academy of Ophthalmology in 2018 analysed 5 RCTs and 7 comparative non-randomised case series found that intravitreal anti-VEGF therapy is as effective as laser photocoagulation for achieving regression of acute ROP. But, ROP recurrence rate was higher, indicating a vigilant and extended follow-up.(11)

Anti-VEGF preparations and doses for ROP: Of 14 studies (RCTs and comparative non-randomised studies), (4-9, 12-19), 12 studies (5 of them RCTs) evaluated bevacizumab, 2 studies evaluated ranibizumab and 1 study trialled pegaptanib. RCTs evaluating bevacizumab used 0.5 mg to 1.25 mg (Beat-ROP trial and Karkhaneh et al – 0.625 mg in 0.025 mL; Lepore et al – 0.5 mg in 0.02 mL; O'Keefe et al and Moran et al – 1.25 mg in 0.1 mL). Zhang et al in their RCT used 0.3 mg in 0.03 mL of ranibizumab.

Author	Study	Anti-VEGF	Dose
CARE-ROP trial (20)	RCT	Ranibizumab	0.12 mg versus 0.2 mg
Beat-ROP trial 2011 (6)	RCT	Bevacizumab	0.625 mg in 0.025 mL
Karkhaneh 2016 (4)	RCT	Bevacizumab	0.625 mg in 0.025 mL
Lepore 2014 (5)	RCT	Bevacizumab	0.5 mg in 0.02 mL
O'Keefe 2016 (7)	RCT	Bevacizumab	1.25 mg in 0.05 mL
Moran 2014 (16)	RCT	Bevacizumab	1.25 mg in 0.1 mL
Harder 2013 (13)	Case series	Bevacizumab	0.375 mg – 0.625 mg
Isaac 2015 (15)	Case series	Bevacizumab	0.625 mg in 0.025 mL
Hwang 2015 (14)	Case series	Bevacizumab	0.625 mg in 0.025 mL
Mueller 2016 (17)		Bevacizumab	0.625 mg in 0.025 mL
Lee 2010 (19)	Case series	Bevacizumab plus laser	0.5 mg in 0.02 mL

Ranibizumab (Lucentis)

Newborn	use only	
---------	----------	--

Walz 2016 (18)	Case series	Bevacizumab	Dose not reported
Zhang 2016 (8)	RCT	Ranibizumab	0.3 mg in 0.03 mL
Gunay 2017 (12)	Case series	Bevacizumab	0.625 mg in 0.025 mL
		Ranibizumab	0.25 mg in 0.025 mL
probably as efficacious nigher (up to 21.4%) in myopia were less in ant Ranibizumab dose com andomised controlled eyes) with ROP. Primary reatment. Rescue ther nitial treatment or lase /EGF dose if ROP activity of eyes in the 0.12 mg g without need for rescue Recurrence of any ROP eyes [21.1%]) had recurn and full physiologic vaso vascularization in the 0. vascularization. Free pla	as laser treatment for comparison to laser the i-VEGF group. parisons: CARE-ROP st trail to compare 2 dos or end point was the ne apy was defined as the r treatment at any tim ry reappeared after 28 roup and 92.9% in the e therapy. Two (5.2%) stage was more preva- rences that were seven cularization in the 0.12 20 mg group suggesti asma VEGF levels were	acute type 1 ROP be herapy (up to 14%). I tudy group perform es of ranibizumab (0 eed for rescue thera e need for either las he. Other outcomes days of initial treat e 0.20 mg group read eyes required rescu lent in the 0.20 mg ere enough to warra 2 mg group, and only ng that higher anti-Ve e measured before (nent of type 1 ROP: Anti-VEGF is at the necessity for retreatment incidence of eye complications a ed a multi-centre, double blind 0.12 mg vs 0.2 mg) in 19 infants py until 24 weeks after the initia er or anti-VEGF within 28 days of were retreatment with the sam ment. When analysed per eye, 9 ched 24 weeks post-treatment e therapy with full resolution. group. Two infants in each group nt retreatment. Eleven eyes (55 y 3 eyes (16.7%) achieved full /EGF doses may impede physiolo baseline) and during the first six ction limit at baseline (i.e. befor
on in either group zumab in zone II umab and laser t ence of ROP after I antibiotics durin t find firm eviden	b.(20) ROP: In a randomised herapy for zone II ROI ranibizumab in comp ng and following intra ce supporting benefit	controlled trial, Zha P. A substantial prop arison to laser thera avitreal injections: A for topical antibiotic	ean VEGF levels after ranibizuma ang et al compared 0.3 mg of ortion of infants developed apy (52% versus 4%).(21) A descriptive review of adult stuc c prophylaxis for post-injection are endophthalmitis rates and
ncreasing antibiotic res linical practice.(22) opical antisepsis (povid lus povidone-iodine ve ntravitreal injections, t eceived a three-day co	istance. Firm evidence done-iodine): A prospersus povidone-iodine he rate of positive bac urse of pre-injection t r to injection, compar	e is lacking for neon ective randomised e alone showed that cterial cultures was & opical gatifloxacin ir	ates to recommend or refute the valuation in adults of topical ant patients undergoing regular
ty cerns remain regard al eye complications VEGF.(6) Lens opact incidence of cataract morrhage were repo emic absorption and the early newborn per to 12 weeks after int im VEGF level signif 2007). There was no	ling the potential long There was no signifi- ity was not found in 2 at in anti-VEGF versus orted in 2 studies and d serum VEGF levels: priod. Wu et al, in a pr pravitreal ranibizumab icantly decreased bet	cant difference in th studies (4, 8) and o laser groups.(6) End did not find these co VEGF is an importan ospective cohort stu (0.25 mg) or bevaci ween baseline and u	emic adverse effects of anti-VEG te incidence of corneal opacity w ne study did not find any differer ophthalmitis and vitreous omplications.(4, 8) nt neurodevelopmental growth f idy, measured serum VEGF levels zumab (0.625 mg) in infants with up to 8 weeks in bevacizumab gro evel between baseline and up to

	Neurodevelopmental outcomes: A study from the Canadian Neonatal Network demonstrated 3.1
	times higher odds (95% Cl 1.2 to 8.4) of severe neurodevelopmental disabilities in preterm infants born
	before 29 weeks' gestation and treated with bevacizumab, after adjusting for key confounders like
	gestation, gender, maternal education, Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology-II (SNAP-II) score,
	bronchopulmonary dysplasia, sepsis, and severe brain injury.(25) However, this comparison was
	adjusted for many infant variables but not ROP severity, and there was a significantly greater
	proportion of patients with zone I disease in the bevacizumab group. A retrospective study published
	by the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) Neonatal Research Network
	involved 405 preterm infants < 27 weeks gestation who were treated with either surgery or
	bevacizumab for ROP. Primary outcome was the composite of death or neurodevelopmental
	impairment. Composite primary outcome did not differ between the groups but the odds of death (aOR
	2.54 [95% CI 1.42 to 4.55]; P = .002), a cognitive score <85 (aOR 1.78 [95% CI 1.09 to 2.91]; P = .02), and
	a Gross Motor Functional Classification Scale level ≥2 (aOR 1.73 [95% Cl 1.04 to 2.88]; P = .04) were
	significantly higher with bevacizumab therapy. (26) Araz-Ersan et al evaluated 13 infants treated with
	combination intravitreal bevacizumab (0.625 mg) and laser therapy for ROP, compared with a
	birthweight and gestational age matched control group of children who had received laser treatment
	for ROP. They found no difference in the mean cognitive, language, or motor scores on the BSID III test.
	(27) Lien et al studied BSID scores at 24 months of age in 61 infants who had received either
	bevacizumab (0.625 mg) monotherapy, laser monotherapy, or a combination of bevacizumab and laser
	therapy (required for salvage therapy). The patients who required combination (salvage) therapy had a
	higher incidence of mental or psychomotor impairment, but there was no difference between the
	groups that had either modality as monotherapy.(28)
	Pharmacokinetics
	Pharmacokinetic data in adults with macular degeneration estimate that vitreous half-life of
	ranibizumab is about 9 days and on reaching the systemic circulation, ranibizumab has a short half-life
	of 2 hours. The systemic-to-vitreous exposure ratio for ranibizumab was estimated to be 1:90,000. The steady-state serum concentrations of total ranibizumab were at all times below the concentrations
	needed to reduce VEGF-A-induced endothelial cell proliferation in vitro by 50%.(29)
Practice points	
References	1. Lucentis. Novartis Pharmaceuticals Australia Pty Ltd. MIMS online. Accessed on 19 November
	2020.
	2. Sankar MJ, Sankar J, Chandra P. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) drugs for treatment
	of retinopathy of prematurity. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 2018(1).
	3. Geloneck M, Chuang A, Clark W, Hunt M, Norman A, Packwood E, et al. BEAT-ROP Cooperative
	Group. Refractive outcomes following bevacizumab monotherapy compared with conventional
	laser treatment: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2014;132(11):1327-33.
	4. Karkhaneh R, Khodabande A, Riazi-Eafahani M, Roohipoor R, Ghassemi F, Imani M, et al. Efficacy of
	intravitreal bevacizumab for zone-II retinopathy of prematurity. Acta ophthalmologica.
	2016;94(6):e417-e20.
	5. Lepore D, Quinn GE, Molle F, Baldascino A, Orazi L, Sammartino M, et al. Intravitreal bevacizumab
	versus laser treatment in type 1 retinopathy of prematurity: report on fluorescein angiographic
	findings. Ophthalmology. 2014;121(11):2212-9.
	6. Mintz-Hittner HA, Kennedy KA, Chuang AZ. Efficacy of intravitreal bevacizumab for stage 3+
	retinopathy of prematurity. New England Journal of Medicine. 2011;364(7):603-15.
	 O'Keeffe N, Murphy J, O'Keeffe M, Lanigan B. Bevacizumab compared with diode laser in stage 3
	posterior retinopathy of prematurity: a 5 year follow-up. 2016.
	8. Zhang G, Yang M, Zeng J, Vakros G, Su K, Chen M, et al. Shenzhen Screening for Retinopathy of
	Prematurity Cooperative Group. Comparison of intravitreal injection of ranibizumab versus laser
	therapy for zone II treatment-requiring retinopathy of prematurity. Retina. 2016;37(4):710-7.
	 9. Autrata R, Krejčířová I, Šenková K, Holoušová M, Doležel Z, Borek I. Intravitreal pegaptanib
	combined with diode laser therapy for stage 3+ retinopathy of prematurity in zone I and posterior
	zone II. European journal of ophthalmology. 2012;22(5):687-94.

 -
10. Li Z, Zhang Y, Liao Y, Zeng R, Zeng P, Lan Y. Comparison of efficacy between anti-vascular
endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and laser treatment in Type-1 and threshold retinopathy of
prematurity (ROP). BMC ophthalmology. 2018;18(1):19.
11. VanderVeen DK, Melia M, Yang MB, Hutchinson AK, Wilson LB, Lambert SR. Anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy for primary treatment of type 1 retinopathy of prematurity: a
report by the American Academy of Ophthalmology. Ophthalmology. 2017;124(5):619-33.
12. Gunay M, Sukgen EA, Celik G, Kocluk Y. Comparison of bevacizumab, ranibizumab, and laser
photocoagulation in the treatment of retinopathy of prematurity in Turkey. Current eye research.
2017;42(3):462-9.
13. Harder BC, Schlichtenbrede FC, von Baltz S, Jendritza W, Jendritza B, Jonas JB. Intravitreal
bevacizumab for retinopathy of prematurity: refractive error results. American journal of
ophthalmology. 2013;155(6):1119-24. e1.
14. Hwang CK, Hubbard GB, Hutchinson AK, Lambert SR. Outcomes after intravitreal bevacizumab
versus laser photocoagulation for retinopathy of prematurity: a 5-year retrospective analysis.
Ophthalmology. 2015;122(5):1008-15.
15. Isaac M, Mireskandari K, Tehrani N. Treatment of type 1 retinopathy of prematurity with
bevacizumab versus laser. Journal of American Association for Pediatric Ophthalmology and
Strabismus. 2015;19(2):140-4.
16. Moran S, O'Keefe M, Hartnett C, Lanigan B, Murphy J, Donoghue V. Bevacizumab versus diode
laser in stage 3 posterior retinopathy of prematurity. Acta ophthalmologica. 2014;92(6):e496-e7.
17. Mueller B, Salchow D, Waffenschmidt E, Joussen A, Schmalisch G, Czernik C, et al. Treatment of
type I ROP with intravitreal bevacizumab or laser photocoagulation according to retinal zone.
British Journal of Ophthalmology. 2017;101(3):365-70.
18. Walz JM, Bemme S, Pielen A, Aisenbrey S, Breuß H, Alex AF, et al. The German ROP Registry: data
from 90 infants treated for retinopathy of prematurity. Acta ophthalmologica. 2016;94(8):e744-
e52. 19. Lee JY, Chae JB, Yang SJ, Yoon YH, Kim J-G. Effects of intravitreal bevacizumab and laser in
retinopathy of prematurity therapy on the development of peripheral retinal vessels. Graefe's
Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology. 2010;248(9):1257-62.
20. Stahl A, Krohne TU, Eter N, Oberacher-Velten I, Guthoff R, Meltendorf S, et al. Comparing
alternative ranibizumab dosages for safety and efficacy in retinopathy of prematurity: a
randomized clinical trial. Jama, Pediatr. 2018;172(3):278-86.
21. Zhang G, Yang M, Zeng J, Vakros G, Su K, Chen M, et al. Comparison of intravitreal injection of
ranibizumab versus laser therapy for zone II treatment-requiring retinopathy of prematurity.
Retina (Philadelphia, Pa). 2017;37(4):710.
22. Hunyor AP, Merani R, Darbar A, Korobelnik JF, Lanzetta P, Okada AA. Topical antibiotics and
intravitreal injections. Acta ophthalmologica. 2018;96(5):435-41.
23. Moss JM, Sanislo SR, Ta CN. A prospective randomized evaluation of topical gatifloxacin on
conjunctival flora in patients undergoing intravitreal injections. Ophthalmology. 2009;116(8):1498-
24. Wu W-C, Shih C-P, Lien R, Wang N-K, Chen Y-P, Chao A-N, et al. Serum vascular endothelial growth
factor after bevacizumab or ranibizumab treatment for retinopathy of prematurity. Retina.
2017;37(4):694-701.
25. Morin J, Luu T, Superstein R, Ospina L, Lefebvre F, Simard M. Canadian Neonatal Network and the Canadian Neonatal Follow-Up Network Investigators. Neurodevelopmental outcomes following
bevacizumab injections for retinopathy of prematurity. Pediatrics. 2016;137:e20153218.
26. Natarajan G, Shankaran S, Nolen TL, Sridhar A, Kennedy KA, Hintz SR, et al. Neurodevelopmental
outcomes of preterm infants with retinopathy of prematurity by treatment. Pediatrics.
2019;144(2):e20183537.

27. Araz-Ersan B, Kir N, Tuncer S, Aydinoglu-Candan O, Yildiz-Inec D, Akdogan B, et al. Preliminary anatomical and neurodevelopmental outcomes of intravitreal bevacizumab as adjunctive
treatment for retinopathy of prematurity. Current eye research. 2015;40(6):585-91.
 Lien R, Yu M-H, Hsu K-H, Liao P-J, Chen Y-P, Lai C-C, et al. Neurodevelopmental outcomes in infants with retinopathy of prematurity and bevacizumab treatment. PloS one. 2016;11(1):e0148019. Xu L, Lu T, Tuomi L, Jumbe N, Lu J, Eppler S, et al. Pharmacokinetics of ranibizumab in patients with neovascular age-related macular degeneration: a population approach. Investigative ophthalmology & visual science. 2013;54(3):1616-24.

VERSION/NUMBER	DATE
Original 1.0	20/05/2021
REVIEW	20/05/2026

Authors Contribution

Original author/s	Srinivas Bolisetty, Jeremy Smith, Matthew Spargo, Kimberley Tan, Hughie Tsang
Evidence Review	Brian Darlow, Tim Schindler
Expert review	John Downie, James Smith, Caroline Catt, Nadkarni Shivram, Kate Leahy
Nursing Review	Eszter Jozsa, Kirsty Minter, Renae Gengaroli
Pharmacy Review	Jessica Mehegan, Wendy Huynh
Contributors	Nilkant Phad, Bhavesh Mehta, John Sinn, Carmen Burman, Jessica Mehegan, Michelle Jenkins, Wendy Huynh, Helen Huynh
Final editing and review	Thao Tran, Srinivas Bolisetty
Electronic version	Cindy Chen, Ian Callander
Facilitator	Srinivas Bolisetty